

Purpose and Summary

Pursuant to Executive Order 10, the Authority proposes to rescind Chapter 197 and adopt a new chapter in lieu thereof. The new chapter will incorporate Iowa Code chapter 17A by reference and remove language that repeats statute or is otherwise unnecessary.

Analysis of Impact

1. Persons affected by the proposed rulemaking:

- Classes of persons that will bear the costs of the proposed rulemaking:

Persons who want to petition the Authority for a rulemaking may incur the costs described below.

- Classes of persons that will benefit from the proposed rulemaking:

The proposed rulemaking will benefit persons interested in participating in the petition for rulemaking procedures of the Authority.

2. Impact of the proposed rulemaking, economic or otherwise, including the nature and amount of all the different kinds of costs that would be incurred:

- Quantitative description of impact:

Members of the public who want to petition the Authority for a rulemaking may incur costs to draft and submit petitions.

- Qualitative description of impact:

The proposed rulemaking will provide clarity about the form for petitions for rulemaking required by the Authority and the procedures for submission, consideration, and disposition of petitions.

3. Costs to the State:

- Implementation and enforcement costs borne by the agency or any other agency:

Authority staff time is required to review, evaluate, and respond to petitions for rulemaking. No additional costs are imposed by the proposed rulemaking compared to the current chapter.

- Anticipated effect on state revenues:

The rulemaking has no anticipated effect on state revenues.

4. Comparison of the costs and benefits of the proposed rulemaking to the costs and benefits of inaction:

Costs to participate in the petition for rulemaking process are minimal and incurred only by those who choose to participate. The proposed rulemaking will provide clarity about the Authority's procedures related to petitions for rulemaking.

5. Determination whether less costly methods or less intrusive methods exist for achieving the purpose of the proposed rulemaking:

The Authority has not identified any less costly methods or less intrusive methods of describing the form and procedures for petitions for rulemaking.

6. Alternative methods considered by the agency:

- Description of any alternative methods that were seriously considered by the agency:

The Authority did not consider any alternative methods.

- Reasons why alternative methods were rejected in favor of the proposed rulemaking:

The Authority did not consider any alternative methods because the Authority did not identify any less costly or less intrusive method.

Small Business Impact

If the rulemaking will have a substantial impact on small business, include a discussion of whether it would be feasible and practicable to do any of the following to reduce the impact of the rulemaking on small business:

- Establish less stringent compliance or reporting requirements in the rulemaking for small business.

- Establish less stringent schedules or deadlines in the rulemaking for compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Consolidate or simplify the rulemaking's compliance or reporting requirements for small business.

- Establish performance standards to replace design or operational standards in the

rulemaking for small business.

- Exempt small business from any or all requirements of the rulemaking.

If legal and feasible, how does the rulemaking use a method discussed above to reduce the substantial impact on small business?

The proposed rules do not have a substantial impact on small business. The rules do not establish compliance or reporting requirements. The rules do not establish design or operational standards.

Text of Proposed Rulemaking

Item 1. Rescind 261—Chapter 197 and adopt the following **new** chapter in lieu thereof:

CHAPTER 197
PETITION FOR RULEMAKING

261—197.1(17A) Incorporation by reference. The authority incorporates by this reference all such matters in Iowa Code chapter 17A that relate to petitions for rulemaking.

261—197.2(17A) Petition for rulemaking. Petitions for rulemaking or inquiries about such petitions may be directed to Director, Iowa Economic Development Authority, 1963 Bell Avenue, Suite 200, Des Moines, Iowa 50315 or director@iowaeda.com. A petition for rulemaking that substantially conforms to the following form will be considered by the authority:

BEFORE THE IOWA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

Petition by (Name of Petitioner) for
the (adoption, amendment, or repeal)
of rules relating to (state subject matter).



PETITION FOR
RULEMAKING

The petition should provide the following information:

1. A statement of the specific rulemaking action sought by the petitioner, including the text or a summary of the contents of the proposed rule or amendment to a rule.
2. The name, mailing address, and telephone number of the petitioner and any other person to whom communications concerning the petition should be directed.
3. An explanation of petitioner’s arguments in support of the action urged in the petition, including but not limited to a citation to any law deemed relevant to the petition and any data supporting the action requested.
4. The names and addresses of other persons, or a description of any class of persons, known by petitioner to be affected by, or interested in, the proposed action that is the subject of the petition.

261—197.3(17A) Consideration and disposition of petition. Prior to granting or denying a petition for rulemaking, the authority may request additional information from the petitioner. The authority may schedule a meeting between the petitioner and the authority. The final decision on whether the circumstances justify the granting of a petition is in the sole discretion of the authority. A decision will be issued in accordance with Iowa Code section 17A.7(1).

These rules are intended to implement Iowa Code section 17A.7.