

CDBG Downtown Revitalization Review Criteria

1. Degree to which the proposed activity is appropriate for CDBG funding:

1. Low = Does not appear to further the CDBG program purpose in any meaningful way
2. Medium/Low =
3. Medium = Relates to some aspects of the CDBG program purpose
4. Medium/High =
5. High = Clearly furthers most aspects of the CDBG program purpose

2. Degree to which CDBG funds will be leveraged by other funds:

1. Low = Less than 25% of project financed with leveraged funds
2. Medium/Low = 26% - 35%
3. Medium = 36% - 45%
4. Medium/High = 46% - 55%
5. High = Over 55%

3. Degree of impact the activity will have on the elimination of slum and blight in the identified target area (includes number of buildings, conditions of participating buildings, proximity/location of buildings):

1. Low = Minimal impact on the identified need; results/outcomes are unclear; does not appear to be the best long term solution
2. Medium/Low =
3. Medium = Partial impact on the identified need; immediate results not evident
4. Medium/High =
5. High = Activity will directly and substantially address the identified need; immediate results will be achieved; best long term solution

4. Degree to which the proposed design criteria addresses identified contributing factors to slum and blight per building:

1. Low = Minimal impact on the identified need; results/outcomes are unclear; does not appear to be the best long term solution
2. Medium/Low =
3. Medium = Partial impact on the identified need; immediate results not evident
4. Medium/High =

5. High = Activity will directly and substantially address the identified need; immediate results will be achieved; best long term solution

5. Degree to which the scale and scope of the project is appropriate for the CDBG program timeframe (3 years):

1. Low = Late start; lengthy/unrealistic/unclear timetable

2. Medium/Low =

3. Medium = Average/reasonable construction timetable based on project type

4. Medium/High =

5. High = Construction to commence quickly; minimum length; realistic time-frame

6. Degree of community involvement with the proposed downtown revitalization efforts (community outreach, public input, planning exercises):

1. Low = Little support shown; all necessary parties have not been contacted and discussions have been minimal.

2. Medium/Low =

3. Medium = Full support not evident, but discussions among all parties is on-going

4. Medium/High =

5. High = Strong support documented by all parties involved

7. Degree to which the project meets or exceeds the minimum building and site design criteria (Green streets criteria) established by IEDA:

1. Low = 2-15 Points

2. Medium/Low = 16 - 97 Points

3. Medium = 98 - 146 Points

4. Medium/High = 147 - 170 Points

5. High = 171 - 244 Points

8. Degree the project ready to proceed:

1. Low = Little preliminary work done on site or financing

2. Medium/Low =

3. Medium = Some preliminary work done, but some important elements remain undetermined (e.g. site, some financing)

4. Medium/High =

5. High = Preliminary work is done and needed financial resources are secured

9. Impact: Degree to which the project will impact the Target Area (# of participating buildings/# of building in target area):

1. Less than 10%
2. 10% - 20%
3. 21% - 30%
4. 31% - 45%
3. Greater than 45%